

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,322	12/10/1999	· STEPHEN J. ZACK	533/198	8722
26291 7:	590 12/02/2003		EXAMI	NER
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVE FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			HUYNH, SON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/458,322	ZACK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Son P Huynh	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June	<u>une 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 32-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e st sentence of the specification or evisional application has been rec c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	<u>-</u>				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 recites the limitation "said non-content control data" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 32- 33, 36-41 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adams (US 6,044,396).

Regarding claim 32, Adams teaches an information distribution system comprising server equipment (server source 115) for providing both content and non-content data (video data and application data) to subscriber equipment (terminal nodes 105), the server equipment comprising:

a multiplex switch for multiplexing a plurality of formatted content from server modules to produce an output stream streams (video streams from media servers 200) that is adapted for transport via a communication channel, wherein the multiplexing of the formatted content streams is statistically performed; wherein the multiplex switch is further for formatting non-content data (application data, application programs and control information) and for selectively multiplexing formatted non-content data into the bandwidth availability basis that is predicted based on the multiplexing of the formatted content streams (statistical multiplexer 208 multiplexes a plurality of encoded video streams from media servers 200 and forwards the video streams to modulator 210 for transmission over a channel in the network 110; The data stored in each buffer slot is preferably in the form of an MPEG-2 transport packet; the statistical multiplexer also determines if video buffers 400 in media servers 200 are empty, the selector passes data from the application buffer 402 to the output buffer 406 for transmission over a channel in the network 110 – see figures 2, 4 and col. 3, line 40+).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 33, Adams teaches the multiplex switch includes a buffer for storing non-content data and a switch controller for determining a bandwidth utilization level of the multiplex switch, the switch controller further for causing at least a portion of the non-content data in the buffer to be multiplexed into the output stream when the bandwidth utilization level falls below a threshold utilization bandwidth level (statistical multiplexer comprises application buffer 402 for receiving the application data stream from the network controller 204, the selector 404 couples to video buffers 400 and application buffer 402 and decides which data stream is to be given access to a channel of the network 110. The video streams are given higher priority than the application data. The selector allocates the application data stream to a low priority access to the network. Therefore, if and when all video buffers 400 are empty, the selector 404 passes data from the application buffer 402 to the output buffer 406 for transmission on the channel of the network 110 – see figure 4 and col. 4, line 35+).

Regarding claim 36, Adams teaches the non-content data comprises control data (control information) and non-control data (application data and application programs), and the multiplex switch preferentially multiplexes the non-content control data (see col. 3, lines 40-52, col. 4, lines 57-64, col. 6, lines 61-67).

Regarding claim 37, Adams teaches the non-content data comprises control data (control information) and non-control data (application data and application programs),

Art Unit: 2611

and the multiplex switch preferentially multiplexes the control data (see col. 3, lines 40-52, col. 4, lines 57-64, col. 6, lines 61-67).

Regarding claim 38, Adams teaches the content data includes MPEG data (see col. 4, lines 48-49; col. 5, lines 14-45).

Regarding claim 39, Adams teaches the non-content data includes Internet protocol data (see col. 3, lines 43-44).

Regarding claim 40, the limitations of the method as claimed correspond to the limitations of the system as claimed in claim 32 and are analyzed as discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 32.

Regarding claim 41, Adams teaches storing non-content data until bandwidth availability enables multiplexing of the stored non-content data (application data is stored in application buffer 402. If and when all video buffers 400 are empty, the selector 404 passes data from the application buffer 402 to the output buffer and the application data, application program, control information are transmitted in waste bandwidth – see col. 5, lines 1-8, col. 6, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 43, Adams teaches the output stream in an MPEG data stream (col. 4, lines 48-49; col. 5, lines 13-14).

Regarding claim 44, Adams teaches receiving the non-content data in an Internet protocol format (col. 3, lines 43-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 34-35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Adams (US 6,044,396).

Regarding claim 34, Adams teaches a system as discussed in the rejection of claim 33. Adams further discloses multiplexer 208 selects the packets or ATM cells to be forwarded to a modulator 210 (col. 3, lines 63-64); real time constraints on the display of video data; and the selector 404 selects data from the video buffers 400 in round robin fashion to ensure fair allocation to the network 110 (col. 4, line 54+). However, Adams does not specifically disclose the threshold bandwidth utilization level comprises a utilization level sufficient to process a single time extent, wherein the content streams are divided into a plurality of respective time extents. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the threshold bandwidth utilization level comprises a utilization level

Art Unit: 2611

sufficient to process a single time extent, wherein the content streams are divided into a plurality of respective time extents in order to reduce delay in real time data transmission thereby improve efficiency in quality of service.

Page 7

Regarding claim 35, Adams teaches a system as discussed in the rejection of claim 33. Adams further discloses multiplexer 208 selects the packets or ATM cells to be forwarded to a modulator 210 (col. 3, lines 63-64); real time constraints on the display of video data; and the selector 404 selects data from the video buffers 400 in round robin fashion to ensure fair allocation to the network 110 (col. 4, line 54+). However, Adams does not specifically disclose each of the content streams is divided into a plurality of respective time extents, and the multiplex switch can multiplex a predetermined number of time extents into the output stream. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that each of the content streams is divided into a plurality of respective time extents, and the multiplex switch can multiplex a predetermined number of time extents into the output stream in order to improve efficiency in data transmission.

Regarding claim 42, the limitations of the method as claimed correspond to the limitations of the system as claimed in claim 35 and are analyzed as discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 35.

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

Page 8

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rao (US 5,506,844) teaches method for configuring a statistical multiplexer to dynamically allocate communication channel bandwidth.

Huang et al. (US 6,516,002) teaches apparatus for using a receiver model to multiplex variable rate bit streams having timing constraints.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son P Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.
- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Son P. Huynh December 2, 2003

ANDREW FAILE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600